

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/910,872	07/24/2001	Yasumichi Kuwayama	Q65548	4044
7:	590 08/13/2003	·	•	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
		·	GUSHI, ROSS N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/910,872	KUWAYAMA ET AL.				
navisory neutrin	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ross N. Gushi	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address						
THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE THEORY FOR THEORY FUTURE THEORY FOR THE THEORY FOR THE THEORY FOR THE THEORY FOR THEORY FILED THEORY	void abandonment of this applice it is applicated and the same it is applicated an applicated and the same it is applicated and applicated an	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	•				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three molearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t	• .					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):	•				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

C ntinuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/910,872

Roullas

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed limitations adding process of use type limitations to the apparatus claims are new limitations not previously considered which raise clear 35 USC 112 issues requiring substantial consideration and additional rejections of the claims.

2